NUM 30 May 25

PTO/S8/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Petent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

The

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature

Typed or printed name

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number 10/058,025

Filling Date January 28, 2002

First Named Inventor Hirofurni Ito

Art Unit 2613

Examiner Name Tung T. Vo

Attorney Docket Number 13888

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s)	After Allowance Communication to TC	
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply	Petitlon	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	Proprietary Information	
Affidavita/declaration(e)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	Stalus Letler	
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)	Response to Restriction Requirement	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement	Landscape Table on CD		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks		
Reply to Missing Parts/			
Incomplete Application	•••		
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm	Dowell & Dowell, P.C.		
Signature	Pakes		
Printed Name	Ralph A. Dowell		
Date	11/30/2004 Reg.	26868	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a banefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1,11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing file burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Date



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Attorney Docket No. 13888

n re application of Hirofumi Ito et al.) \
Serial No.:10/056,025 Filed :January 28, 2002 For : IMAGE GENERATING METHOD, APPARATUS AND SYSTEM USING CRITICAL POINTS) Art Unit: 2613) Examiner: Tung T. Vo))))
	1

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated November 2, 2004, Applicant hereby provisionally elects Group I – Claims 1-6, 12-16 and 26-27. The election is made with traverse.

The Examiner has indicated that Claims in Group II, III, IV and I are related as combination and sub-combination. The Examiner has not made reverence to Group V, however we anticipate that the Examiner intended to include this Group with the others noted above.

The traversal of the restriction requirement is based on a lack of a showing in the Restriction Requirement of the serious burden that would be imposed if all the claims were examined in a single application. It is respectfully submitted that the

-2-

search and examination of the claim Groups could be made without serious burden. MPEP § 803 indicates that "if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions". Specifically, although it is alleged that the distinct inventions "have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification," MPEP §808.02(1) requires not only that the distinct inventions have acquired separate status in the art by showing their separate classification, but also that "a separate field of search" is required. It is respectfully submitted that no such showing is made out in the Restriction Requirement. To the contrary, although Applicant's counsel will not claim to be skilled in using the Manual of Classification, it appears that it would be necessary to search the claims in the Groups in each of the classes/subclasses listed on page 2 of the Restriction Requirement to fully search these inventions. For this reason, it does not appear that a prima facie showing of the need for restriction of the claims in Groups I through V has been established, and in the absence of such a showing, Applicant traverses and requests reconsideration of the restriction requirement.

- 3 -

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place the application in better condition for examination on the merits and allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Ву

Registration No. 26868

Respectfully submitted,

Ralph A. Dowell

Dowell & Dowell, P.C.

Dated: <u>///3///</u>

Suite 406

2111 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22314

U.S.A.

Telephone: 703-415-2555